

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,462	09/26/2000	Takao Ogura	FUJS 17.791	3610	
Katten, Muchin	7590 03/08/2007 n, Zavis & Rosenman	EXAMINER			
575 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10022-2585			HAN, CLEMENCE S		
New York, NY	10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	2616	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

5

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/670,462	OGURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Clemence Han	2616			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 No.	ovember 2006.				
•	·	action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - -			
.0)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	*				
11)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	· · · · ·	· · ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
coo the attached actained comes action for a not of the defining copies not reconved.						
A44	463					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔲 Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson et al. (US 6,570,867) in view of Bowman-Amuah (US 6,611,867).

Regarding to claim 1-6 and 12-15, Robinson teaches a system for managing a communication network composed of a plurality of subnetworks, comprising: a plurality of element managers 24 provided one for each of the plural subnetworks; and a network manager 20 accommodating said plural element managers for concentrated management thereof; wherein each of said plural element managers having a collection and notification section for collecting QoS (Quality of Service) capability management information on the corresponding element manager and notifying said network manager of the collected QoS capability management information (Column 5 Line 13-19); said network manager having a management section including a function object group which performs a control of QoS policy provisioning over the communication network (Column 5 Line 40-44) and an information object group which manages network information of each of the plural subnetworks (Column 5 Line 19-30), and for concentratedly managing various

QoS capabilities of the whole communication network, based on the QoS capability management information collected and notified by the individual element managers 24 (Column 5 Line 3-15), a request reception section for receiving a request for a target QoS capability (Column 8 Line 22-25), and a selection and notification section for selecting a candidate subnetwork having a QoS capability such as to satisfy the target QoS capability (Column 13 Line 46-56, Column 2 Line 27-29), for which the request has been received by said request reception section (Column 8 Line 22-25), based on the various QoS capabilities being managed by said management section (Column 5 Line 3-15), and for notifying said element manager corresponding the selected candidate subnetwork of selection information indicating that the candidate subnetwork has been selected (Column 5 Line 3-6); and each of said element managers further having a control section for controlling the corresponding subnetwork based on the selection information notified by said selection and notification section of said network manager (Column 5 Line 9-12). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74

Application/Control Number: 09/670,462

Art Unit: 2616

Line 43-64, see Figure 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 7 and 19, Robinson teaches a network manager for use in a communication network managing system which manages a communication network composed of a plurality of subnetworks and includes a plurality of element managers 24 corresponding to the plural subnetworks; and a network manager 20 accommodating the plural element managers, said network manager comprising: management section for concentratedly managing various QoS capabilities of the whole communication network, based on the QoS capability management information collected and notified by the individual element managers (Column 5 Line 3-15), a request reception section for receiving a request for a target QoS capability (Column 8 Line 22-25), and a selection and notification section for selecting a candidate subnetwork having a QoS capability such as to satisfy the target QoS capability (Column 13 Line 46-56, Column 2 Line 27-29), for which the request has been received by said request reception section (Column

8 Line 22-25), based on the various QoS capabilities being managed by said management section (Column 5 Line 3-15), and for notifying said element manager corresponding the selected candidate subnetwork of selection information that the candidate subnetwork has been selected (Column 5 Line 3-6), wherein said management section is constructed to concentratedly manage the various QoS capabilities of said communication network and those of another communication network independent of said communication network in view of other QoS capability management information of other subnetworks that constitute said other communication network (Column 14 Line 46-57, Column 3 Line 34-38). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74 Line 43-64, see Figure 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 8 and 22, Robinson teaches a network manager for use in a communication network managing system which manages a communication network composed of a plurality of subnetworks and includes a plurality of element managers 24 corresponding to the plural subnetworks; and a network manager 20 accommodating the plural element managers, said network manager comprising: management section for concentratedly managing various QoS capabilities of the whole communication network, based on the QoS capability management information collected and notified by the individual element managers (Column 5 Line 3-15), a request reception section for receiving a request for a target QoS capability (Column 8 Line 22-25), and a selection and notification section for selecting a candidate subnetwork having a QoS capability such as to satisfy the target QoS capability (Column 13 Line 46-56, Column 2 Line 27-29), for which the request has been received by said request reception section (Column 8 Line 22-25), based on the various QoS capabilities being managed by said management section (Column 5 Line 3-15), and for notifying said element manager corresponding the selected candidate subnetwork of selection information that the candidate subnetwork has been selected (Column 5 Line 3-6), wherein said management section is constructed to manage supported tagging, as additional information, for discrimination on combination of the subnetworks (Column 9 Line

60 – Column 10 Line 2). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74 Line 43-64, see Figure 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 9 and 24, Robinson teaches a network manager for use in a communication network managing system which manages a communication network composed of a plurality of subnetworks and includes a plurality of element managers 24 corresponding to the plural subnetworks; and a network manager 20 accommodating the plural element managers, said network manager comprising: management section for concentratedly managing various QoS capabilities of the whole communication network, based on the QoS capability management information collected and notified by the individual element

managers (Column 5 Line 3-15), a request reception section for receiving a request for a target QoS capability (Column 8 Line 22-25), and a selection and notification section for selecting a candidate subnetwork having a QoS capability such as to satisfy the target QoS capability (Column 13 Line 46-56, Column 2 Line 27-29), for which the request has been received by said request reception section (Column 8 Line 22-25), based on the various QoS capabilities being managed by said management section (Column 5 Line 3-15), and for notifying said element manager corresponding the selected candidate subnetwork of selection information that the candidate subnetwork has been selected (Column 5 Line 3-6), wherein said management section is constructed to update the various QoS capabilities of the communication network when said QoS capability management information is updated (Column 9 Line 43-47). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74) Line 43-64, see Figure 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality

of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 10, Robinson teaches said selection and notification section is constructed to previously select two or more of the subnetworks when selecting the candidate subnetworks having communication QoS capabilities such as to individually satisfy the target QoS capability, for which the request has been received by said request reception section, to firstly notify one element manager, corresponding to a first one of the candidate subnetworks, of the previous selection of the plural subnetworks and secondly notify another element manager, corresponding to a second one of the candidate subnetworks, of unable information that the corresponding first candidate subnetwork cannot be controlled, upon receipt of the unable information as a response from the element manager corresponding to the first candidate subnetwork (Column 14 Line 33-45, Column 10 Line 21-39). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74 Line 43-64, see Figure

36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 11, Robinson teaches a network manager for use in a communication network managing system which manages a communication network composed of a plurality of subnetworks and includes a plurality of element managers 24 corresponding to the plural subnetworks; and a network manager 20 accommodating the plural element managers, said network manager comprising: management section for concentratedly managing various QoS capabilities of the whole communication network, based on the QoS capability management information collected and notified by the individual element managers (Column 5 Line 3-15), a request reception section for receiving a request for a target QoS capability (Column 8 Line 22-25), and a selection and notification section for selecting a candidate subnetwork having a QoS capability such as to satisfy the target QoS capability (Column 13 Line 46-56, Column 2 Line 27-29), for which the request has been received by said request reception section (Column

8 Line 22-25), based on the various QoS capabilities being managed by said management section (Column 5 Line 3-15), and for notifying said element manager corresponding the selected candidate subnetwork of selection information that the candidate subnetwork has been selected (Column 5 Line 3-6), wherein said selection and notification section is constructed to select two or more of the subnetwork according to preset priorities when selecting the candidate subnetworks having QoS capabilities such as to individually satisfy the target QoS capability, for which the response has been received by said request reception section, and to notify one element manager, corresponding to a higher-priority one of the candidate subnetworks, of the selection (Column 14 Line 33-45, Column 10 Line 21-39). Robinson, however, does not teach at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks (Column 74 Line 43-64, see Figure 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Robinson to be used with at least one subnetwork of the plurality of the subnetworks having a different technology than other subnetworks of the plurality of subnetworks as taught by Bowman-Amuah in order to improve the efficiency of systems

integration therefore enable the system to operate more effectively (Column 73 Line 60 – Column 74 Line 41).

Regarding to claim 16 and 20, Robinson teaches said management section is constructed to manage supported tagging, as additional information, for discrimination on combination of the subnetworks (Column 9 Line 60 – Column 10 Line 2).

Regarding to claim 17 and 21, Robinson teaches said management section is constructed to update the various QoS capabilities of the communication network when said QoS capability management information is updated (Column 9 Line 43-47).

Regarding to claim 18 and 23, Robinson teaches said management section is constructed to update the various QoS capabilities of the communication network when said QoS capability management information is updated (Column 9 Line 43-47).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., target pattern) are not recited in the rejected

claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Robinson teaches QoS policy provisioning (Column 5 Line 40-44) and getting information (Column 5 Line 13-19) from the network elements and make it available to the network manager. In response to page 2-3, the applicant argues that Bowman-Amuah does not teach a system where at least one subnetwork has a different technology than other subnetworks. Bowman-Amuah teaches a system where at least one subnetwork has a different technology than other subnetwork has a different technology than other subnetworks (Column 77 Line 33-37 and Column 88 Line 39-44).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will

Application/Control Number: 09/670,462 Page 14

Art Unit: 2616

be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,462 Page 15

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Clemence Han Examiner

Art Unit 2616

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600